	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/019,802	LUSCOMBE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J. Davis	1621
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Brian J. Davis</u> .	(3)	
(2) Charlton Shen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 May 2004</u>	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: A possible rejection based on case law and the prior art of re-	cord (WO 94/26704).	
Claims discussed: 17 and 29		
Prior art documents discussed: WO 94/26704		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide te record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to Mr. Shen to inform him that the application was being withdrawn from issue in view of case law. It was suggested that the claims could again be passed to issue if independent claims 17 and 29 were narrowed to exclude obese individuals from the method. Mr. Shen stated he would take the matter under consideration, but that he would not amend the claim at present